A Commodity Spot Price Model with Short-, Medium- and Long-Term Components

Arne Andresen\textsuperscript{1}  Johan Magne Sollie\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Department of Industrial Economics and Technology Management
Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Energy & Finance Conference Essen, 2010
Outline of presentation

1. Model Specification
2. Derivatives
3. Estimation
4. Data
   - ICE Brent Oil Futures
   - Nord Pool Electricity Swaps
5. Results
   - ICE Brent Oil Futures
   - Nord Pool Electricity Swaps
6. Conclusion
Model Specification

In the following let $S(t)$ denote the spot price and $s(t) = \ln S(t)$. We decompose $s(t)$ into three components;

$$s(t) = \lambda(t) + q(t) + \eta(t).$$

$\lambda(t)$ is a deterministic seasonal price component and $\eta(t)$ follows a Wiener process with drift,

$$d\eta(t) = \mu dt + \sigma_\eta dW_\eta.$$

$q(t)$ satisfies the following system of stochastic differential equations;

$$dq(t) = \left[-\gamma q(t) + \Gamma X(t)\right] dt + \sigma_q dW_q,$$

$$dX(t) = -\Delta X(t) dt + B_X dW_X.$$
Model Specification

\( \mathbf{X}(t) \) is an \( m \)-dimensional stochastic process driven by the \( m \)-dimensional standard Wiener process \( \mathbf{W}_X(t) \), where \( \mathbb{E}[d\mathbf{W}_X d\mathbf{W}_X'] = \mathbf{I}_{m \times m} dt \). Any correlation structure is represented by \( \mathbf{B}_X \).

\( \gamma \geq 0 \) and \( \sigma_q \geq 0 \) are scalar parameters and \( \mathbf{\Gamma} \), \( \mathbf{\Delta} \) and \( \mathbf{B}_X \) are matrix parameters with \( \text{dim}(\mathbf{\Gamma}) = (1 \times m) \), \( \mathbf{\Delta} \) is diagonal with \( \text{dim}(\mathbf{\Delta}) = (m \times m) \) and \( \mathbf{B}_X \) is upper triangular with \( \text{dim}(\mathbf{B}_X) = (m \times m) \).
Model Specification

\( X(t) \) may, or may not, be observable.

When \( X(t) \) is observable, \( \Gamma \) represents a scaling of the effect of the observed process \( X(t) \) on \( q(t) \). We treat \( X(t) \) as unobservable, and the numerical choice of the elements in \( \Gamma \neq 0 \) is arbitrary.

Any scaling of the effect of \( X(t) \) on \( q(t) \) is equally matched by a scaling of \( \Delta \) and \( B_X \).

Let \( \sigma_q dW_q B_X dW_X = K dt \), \( dW_\eta dW_q = 0 \) and \( dW_\eta dW_X = 0 \).
Model Solution

Analytical expressions for the distribution of state variables and spot prices in our model is found as follows:

\[ q(t) = e^{-\gamma t}q_0 + \Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1}(e^{-\Delta t} - e^{-\gamma t})X_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}\sigma_q dW_q(\tau) - \]
\[ \Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} \int_0^t \left(e^{-\gamma t(t-\tau)} - e^{-\Delta(t-\tau)}\right)B_X dW_X(\tau), \]

\[ X(t) = e^{-\Delta t}X_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\Delta(t-\tau)}B_X dW_X(\tau), \]

since \( \Delta \) is diagonal \( e^{-\Delta(t-\tau)} = \text{diag}\{e^{-\delta_i(t-\tau)}\} \) and \( I \) is the \( (m \times m) \) identity matrix. \( \eta(t) \) has solution

\[ \eta(t) = \eta_0 + \mu t + \int_0^t \sigma_\eta dW_\eta(\tau). \]
Assume $\Delta \neq \gamma I$, such that the expected value is given by

$$
E \begin{bmatrix}
q(t) \\
X(t) \\
\eta(t)
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
e^{-\gamma t} q_0 + \Gamma (\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} (e^{-\Delta t} - e^{-\gamma I t}) X_0 \\
e^{-\Delta t} X_0 \\
\eta_0 + \mu t
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Define $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{ij}\} = B_x B'_x$, $\Omega_t = \{\omega_{ij}(t)\}$ and $\Xi_t = \{\xi_{ij}(t)\}$, where

$$
\omega_{ij}(t) = \sigma_{ij} \frac{1 - e^{-(\delta_i + \delta_j) t}}{(\delta_i + \delta_j)},
\xi_{ij}(t) = \sigma_{ij} \frac{1 - e^{-(\gamma + \delta_j) t}}{(\gamma + \delta_j)}.
$$
Model Properties

The variance of the process is then

$$\text{Var} \begin{bmatrix} q(t) \\ X(t) \\ \eta(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_q & V_{q,X} & 0 \\ V_{q,X} & V_X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_\eta \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$V_q(t) = \Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} \left[ \frac{1 - e^{-2\gamma t}}{2\gamma} \Sigma - \Xi_t - \Xi'_t + \Omega_t \right] (\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} \Gamma' +$$

$$\Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} \left[ 2(\gamma I + \Delta)^{-1}[1 - e^{-(\gamma I + \Delta)t}] - \frac{1 - e^{-2\gamma t}}{\gamma} I \right] K +$$

$$\frac{1 - e^{-2\gamma t}}{2\gamma} \sigma_q^2,$$

$$V_X(t) = \Omega_t,$$

$$V_{q,X}(t) = (\Omega_t - \Xi_t)(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} \Gamma' + (\gamma I + \Delta)^{-1}[1 - e^{-(\gamma I + \Delta)t}] K$$

$$V_\eta(t) = \sigma_\eta^2 t.$$
The log spot price now has the following expression:

\[
s(t) = \Gamma (\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} (e^{-\Delta t} - e^{-\gamma I t})X_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\gamma (t-\tau)} \sigma_q dW_q(\tau) - \\
\Gamma (\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} \int_0^t (e^{-\gamma I (t-\tau)} - e^{-\Delta (t-\tau)})B_X dW_X(\tau) + \\
\lambda(t) + e^{-\gamma t}q_0 + \eta_0 + \mu t + \int_0^t \sigma_\eta dW_\eta(\tau).
\]
Model Properties

Taking the expectation we obtain

$$E[s(t)] = \lambda(t) + e^{-\gamma t} q_0 + \Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1}(e^{-\Delta t} - e^{-\gamma t})X_0 + \eta_0 + \mu t.$$  

The variance of the log spot price is given by

$$\text{Var}[s(t)] = V_q + V_{\eta},$$
Financial Derivatives

The model can be used for pricing of financial derivatives.

Under the risk-neutral probability measure, the forward price is equal to the expected spot price, i.e.,

\[
\ln(F_{t,T}) = \ln(\mathbb{E}^Q[S_T|\mathcal{F}_t]),
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E}^Q[\ln S_T|\mathcal{F}_t] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}^Q[\ln S_T|\mathcal{F}_t],
\]

\[
= \lambda(T) + e^{-\gamma(T-t)}q_t + \Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1}(e^{-\Delta(T-t)} - e^{-\gamma I(T-t)})X_t
\]

\[
+ \eta_t + A(T - t),
\]

where

\[
A(T - t) = \mu(T - t) + \frac{V_q(T - t) + V_\eta(T - t)}{2},
\]
Given $q_0$, $X_0$ and $\eta_0$, the log forward price is normally distributed with expectation given by

$$E[\ln F_{t,T}] = \lambda(T) + e^{-\gamma(T-t)}[e^{-\gamma t} q_0 + \Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1}(e^{-\Delta t} - e^{-\gamma t I})X_0] + \Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1}(e^{-\Delta(T-t)} - e^{-\gamma t I(T-t)})e^{\Delta t}X_0 + \mu t + \eta_0 + A(T - t),$$
The variance is given by

\[
\text{Var}[\ln F_{t,T}] = e^{-2\gamma(T-t)} V_q(t) + \sigma^2 \eta t + \\
\Gamma (\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} (e^{-\Delta (T-t)} - e^{-\gamma I (T-t)}) V_x(t) \times \\
(e^{-\Delta (T-t)} - e^{-\gamma I (T-t)})(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} \Gamma' + \\
2\Gamma (\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} (e^{-\Delta (T-t)} - e^{-\gamma I (T-t)}) e^{-2\gamma (T-t)} V_{q,x}.
\]

The instantaneous variance is found by differentiating \(\text{Var}[\ln F_{t,T}]\) with respect to \(t\). The model can be used to price European style financial options by inserting the variance into the Black 76 formula.
State Space Form

Let $t_k, k = 1, \ldots, n$ denote the sampling dates, and assume that $t_k - t_{k-1} = \tau$ is a constant. The evolution of the state variables is described by the transition equation, which is given by

$$\alpha_{t+1} = u + T\alpha_t + \omega_t,$$

where $\alpha_t = [q_t \ X_t \ \eta_t], \ u = [0 \ 0 \ \mu]$ and

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\gamma \tau} & \Gamma(\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1}(e^{-\Delta \tau} - e^{-\gamma \tau} I) & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\Delta \tau} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

$\omega_t$ is a vector of serially uncorrelated, Gaussian distributed disturbances with $E[\omega_t] = 0$ and $\text{Var}[\omega_t] = \begin{bmatrix} V_{q}(\tau) & V_{q,X}(\tau) & 0 \\ V_{q,X}(\tau) & V_{X}(\tau) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_{\eta}(\tau) \end{bmatrix}$. 
State Space Form

Define $y_t = [\ln F(t, T_1), \ldots, \ln F(t, T_n)]'$, where $\ln F(t, T_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are observed log forward prices at time $t$. The measurement equation is obtained by adding measurement errors $\epsilon_t$ to the forward valuation formula;

$$y_t = d_t + Z_t \alpha_t + \epsilon_t.$$

Here $d_t = [A(T_1 - t), \ldots, A(T_n - t)]'$, $\epsilon_t$ is a vector of serially uncorrelated, normally distributed disturbances; $\epsilon_t \sim \text{N}(0, H)$, and

$$Z_t = \begin{bmatrix}
e^{-\gamma(T_1-t)} & \Gamma (\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} (e^{-\Delta(T_1-t)} - e^{-\gamma I(T_1-t)}) & 1 \\
: & : & : \\
e^{-\gamma(T_n-t)} & \Gamma (\gamma I - \Delta)^{-1} (e^{-\Delta(T_n-t)} - e^{-\gamma I(T_n-t)}) & 1
\end{bmatrix}.$$
The ICE Brent crude data is a collection of daily future prices, Pos 1 – Pos 30.
No distinct seasonality in the forward prices
Fitting the model to the ICE Brent data is fairly straightforward
Figure: Plot of the ICE Brent futures contracts surface.
Nord Pool Electricity Swaps

- Nord Pool Electricity data is a panel of daily observations of traded forward and future contracts.
- The observation period spans 01.01.2004 to 30.04.2010, counting 26878 observations denominated in Euro.
- The dataset consists of weekly forward contracts with Pos 1 – 3, monthly contracts with Pos 1 – 6, quarterly contracts with Pos 3 – 4, and yearly contracts with Pos 2 – 5.
- The observed prices are the price of swaps, not forwards.
Approximating the Swap contracts with a single forward contract

Figure: Approximating the Nord Pool swap contracts with a forward contract.
Seasonality

- Due to seasonal patterns in demand of electricity, it is to be expected that the spot price has a seasonal component.
- There is apparently no seasonal variation in the spot price in our sample period.
- Market participants incorporate a seasonal effect in their derivative valuation process. We therefore calibrate the seasonal terms to expected seasonality as observed in the forward market.
Figure: Nord Pool spot price and seasonality estimated from monthly forward contracts. There is not much seasonality or price spikes observed in Nord Pool spot prices in our sample period.
Seasonality

The seasonal term $\lambda(t)$ is assumed to follow a sinusoidal function on the form

$$\lambda(t) = a_1 + a_2 \cos \left( (t + a_3) \frac{2\pi}{365} \right).$$

We use the monthly contracts to extract the seasonality. Parameter estimates are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{a}_1 & \hat{a}_2 & \hat{a}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \arg \min_{a_1, a_2, a_3} \sum_{m=1}^{12} \sum_{c=1}^{6} \left| \lambda(t_m) - \log F_{c}(t_m) \right|$$

where $t_m$ denotes the middle of the month number $m$, $\log F_{c}(t_m)$ is the average log price taken over the sample period of delivery in month number $m$ for the monthly contract with Pos $c$. The parameter estimates are $\hat{a_1} = 3.659$, $\hat{a_2} = 0.185$ and $\hat{a_3} = 5.745$. 
Model Specification

We restate our model here for convenience

\begin{align*}
  s(t) &= \lambda(t) + q(t) + \eta(t), \\
  d\eta(t) &= \mu dt + \sigma_\eta dW_\eta, \\
  dq(t) &= [-\gamma q(t) + \Gamma X(t)] dt + \sigma_q dW_q, \\
  dX(t) &= -\Delta X(t) dt + B_X dW_X.
\end{align*}

For the ICE data we assume that \( \lambda(t) \equiv 0. \)
Model Specification

We fit 4 models to the ICE and Nord Pool data. More specifically, the models are obtained by choosing the state variables and parameters in the following way:

\[
X(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) & x_2(t) \end{bmatrix}',
\]

\[
\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
B_X = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{x_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{x_2} \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
dW_X = \begin{bmatrix} dW_{x_1} & dW_{x_2} \end{bmatrix}',
\]
Model Specification

The four models are then defined by setting

Model 1: \[ \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E[dW_q dW_\eta] = 0 dt, \quad E[dW_q dW_X] = K dt, \]

Model 2: \[ \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E[dW_q dW_\eta] = 0 dt, \quad E[dW_q dW_X] = K dt, \]

Model 3: \[ \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E[dW_q dW_\eta] = 0 dt, \quad E[dW_q dW_X] = 0 dt, \]

Model 4: \[ \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E[dW_q dW_\eta] = \rho dt, \quad E[dW_q dW_X] = 0 dt. \]
Model Specification

For computational simplicity we assume that the covariance matrix $H_t$ is diagonal. For the Nord Pool data the measurement error covariance matrix is assumed to be on the form

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
\Sigma_W & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \Sigma_M & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Sigma_Q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \Sigma_Y
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where $\Sigma_W = \sigma_W^2 I_{3 \times 3}$, $\Sigma_M = \sigma_M^2 I_{6 \times 6}$, $\Sigma_Q = \sigma_Q^2 I_{2 \times 2}$, and $\Sigma_Y = \sigma_Y^2 I_{8 \times 8}$. For the ICE data we assume that one variance applies to all measurement errors for the log of futures prices

\[
H = I_{30 \times 30} \sigma_{ICE}^2.
\]
Results

ICE Brent Oil Futures
## ICE Brent Oil Futures Parameter Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>-0.030 (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.037 (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.041 (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.051 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>0.981 (0.024)</td>
<td>1.433 (0.027)</td>
<td>1.026 (0.005)</td>
<td>1.027 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_1$</td>
<td>6.421 (0.066)</td>
<td>1.434 (0.028)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_2$</td>
<td>0.891 (0.024)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_\eta$</td>
<td>0.191 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.192 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.192 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.238 (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_q$</td>
<td>0.181 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.159 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.578 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.578 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{x_1}$</td>
<td>0.534 (0.017)</td>
<td>0.165 (0.005)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{x_2}$</td>
<td>0.134 (0.004)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\eta,q}$</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>0.644 (0.061)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{x_1,q}$</td>
<td>-0.383 (0.037)</td>
<td>0.263 (0.052)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{x_2,q}$</td>
<td>0.347 (0.046)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logl.</td>
<td>136367.7</td>
<td>125165.6</td>
<td>108074.8</td>
<td>108095.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:** Estimated model parameters of Model 1 – 4. Standard deviations given in parenthesis.
In Sample Fit

Figure: Root mean squared in-sample pricing errors using the ICE oil data spanning 07.02.2005 – 01.01.2008.
Out of Sample Fit

Figure: Root mean squared out-of-sample ICE pricing errors. The models are calibrated using data spanning 07.02.2005 to 01.01.2008, and out-of-sample pricing errors calculated for the period 02.01.2009 – 06.09.2010.
Volatility Term Structure

**Figure:** Term structure of volatility from the model for the ICE Brent data and observed instantaneous forward price volatility.
Results

Nord Pool Electricity Swaps
## Estimated model parameters Nord Pool data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>-0.006 (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.008 (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.009 (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.010 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>3.286 (0.114)</td>
<td>3.204 (0.084)</td>
<td>2.094 (0.015)</td>
<td>2.095 (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_1 )</td>
<td>3.291 (0.110)</td>
<td>3.204 (0.084)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_2 )</td>
<td>0.373 (0.018)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_\eta )</td>
<td>0.098 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.124 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.120 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.129 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_q )</td>
<td>0.448 (0.013)</td>
<td>0.416 (0.013)</td>
<td>1.448 (0.018)</td>
<td>1.448 (0.018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{x_1} )</td>
<td>1.677 (0.080)</td>
<td>1.744 (0.074)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma_{x_2} )</td>
<td>0.704 (0.039)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_{\eta,q} )</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>0.423 (0.087)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_{x_1,q} )</td>
<td>-0.077 (0.054)</td>
<td>0.005 (0.058)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_{x_2,q} )</td>
<td>0.433 (0.038)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
<td>- (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logl.</td>
<td>50778.29</td>
<td>48472.85</td>
<td>43997.92</td>
<td>44006.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:** Estimated model parameters of Model 1 – 4. Standard deviations given in parenthesis.
In Sample Fit

Figure: Root mean squared in-sample pricing errors using Nord Pool data spanning 01.01.2004 – 31.12.2008.
Out of Sample Fit

Figure: Root mean squared out-of-sample Nord Pool pricing errors. The models are calibrated using data spanning 01.01.2004 to 01.01.2008, and out-of-sample pricing errors calculated for the period 02.01.2009 – 30.04.2010.
Volatility Term Structure

Figure: Term structure of volatility from the model for the Nord Pool data and the observed instantaneous swap price volatility.
Figure: Left: scaled effects of the short- and long-term factors of Model 4. Right: scaled effects of the factors of Model 1. The plot is drawn for the Nord Pool data, and similar results are found for the ICE data.
Residual Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residual variances</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2_W$</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2_M$</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2_Q$</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2_Y$</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nord Pool

ICE Brent

$\sigma^2_{ICE}$ 1.0369e-06 3.3549e-06 1.7219e-05 1.7216e-05

Table: Residual variances from the calibration of the models to the price of traded forwards at ICE and traded swaps at Nord Pool.
Conclusion

- Empirical results indicate that the classical two-factor model by Schwartz and Smith (2000) fail to accurately fit the observed forward prices and volatility structure in our data sets.
- Our model provides better in- and out of sample fit.
- The three and four factor models offer superior fit to observed volatility term structure.
- Model is easily estimated using the Kalman filter for the ICE Brent data.
- A simple approximation for the price of swap contracts can be used to calibrate the model in markets such as Nord Pool.