e.01

Pricing Virtual Power Plants (VPP) under a Lognormal Swap Market Model A Trader's Model

Dr. P. Schuetterle

Structured Trading FPS E.ON Energy Trading SE

Outline

•E.ON Energy Trading
•VPP contracts & Asset-Backed Trading
•Valuation of VPPs
•Spread model
•Model Inputs
•Conclusion



We are at the commercial heart of E.ON

We are responsible for the commercial interests of E.ON which result from

About 60 GW of power generation capacity in Europe Natural gas sales of >1,000 TWh

30 million customers in the EU





We optimize E.ON's assets and actively manage price risks

E.ON Energy Trading is responsible for commodity risk management and the optimization of E.ON's assets 3 years prior to delivery.

By actively trading on the futures markets, we minimize the price risks for E.ON's power production.





We unite the entire trading expertise of E.ON

- We trade in all major European markets.
- We are active at all major exchanges.
- We are active in 40 countries.
- All E.ON's European trading expertise is united in Düsseldorf.





We have a large stake in the international energy markets*

Power: 1,240 TWh Gas: 1,498 TWh CO2 allowances: 501 million t Oil: 69 million t Coal: 223 million t Adjusted EBIT: 949 million €





VPPs & Asset-Backed Trading

Asset-Backed Trading is a style of commodity trading...

Seeks to exploit market volatility to monetise operational asset flexibility
Views physical assets as portfolios of traded instruments
Example: a merchant power plant considered as a strip of spread options
Use of pricing and modelling techniques adapted from financial markets

Asset-Backed Trading at the Structured Desk comprises...

- •Market making for VPP contracts
- •Volatility trading and forward spread optimisation
- •Management and mitigation of physical and financial risks



Main risks transferred from plant hedger: •Market price risk (revenues must cover debt servicing & IRR) •Technical/asset trip risk •FX-exposure

VPPs are the workhorses of asset-backed power trading...

- •Bespoke synthetic power asset contracts, increasing standardisation
- •Retain some physical plant characteristics (e.g. start cost, ramp rates)
- Modelled as strip of spread options
- •Premium reflects operational constraints and residual risks

Example VPP transaction: A sells to B a virtual coal-fired plant with daily baseload nomination rights





VPP Valuation

Strip of Daily Options

- Consider a VPP contract with daily exercise rights
- In absence of physical constraints value as a strip of daily spread options





Valuation based on Tradables



Estimate of the value of each option in the strip based on the tradables in the current market structure i.e. Cal, Q, seasonal etc.



Spread Option Model

P. Schuetterle, Structured Trading, E.ON Energy Trading S.E. 14

Spread Option Model

- Model the underlying swap rates as martingales under the risk neutral measure
- One driving factor for each underlyer and traded contract in the current market structure i.e. Cal, Q etc.
- Time dependent but deterministic volatility function

$$\frac{dF(t,T_i)}{F(t,T_i)} = \sigma(t,T_i)dW_{T_i}(t) \qquad i=1,2,\dots,N$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad F(t,T) = F(0,T) \exp(\int_0^t \sigma(s,T) dW(s) - 0.5 \int_0^t \left| \sigma(s,T) \right|^2 ds)$$

Assume further a correlation structure of the Wiener increments

$$E\left[dW_{T_i}(t)dW_{T_i}(t)\right] = \rho_{ij}dt$$

Spread Option Model

•The value of the VPP contract per MW of power capacity is then given by

$$VPP = \sum_{i,strip} e^{-r^*(T_i - t)} E_t^{\mathcal{Q}} \Big[(w_1 F_{i,1} - w_2 F_{i,2} - w_3 F_{i,3} - K)^+ \Big]$$

•The value of each spread option in the strip is given by the discounted riskneutral expectation of the option's payoff.

•This expression is sufficiently easy to evaluate, e.g. by Monte Carlo simulation.

•Greeks are easily estimated as sensitivities of the VPP price w.r.t. to the underlying value drivers.

•Some similarity to the approach followed by LIBOR models in the interest rate space in the sense that tradables are the building blocks



Inputs: Volatility & Correlation

Forward Volatility Calibration

We have the relationship for the instantaneous volatility with the implied volatility given by

$$\sigma_{F(0,T_i)}^{imp} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T_i} \int_0^{T_i} \sigma^2(u,T_i) du}$$

Consistent with market observation write volatility in a parsimonious parametric form

- $\sigma(t,T_i) = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 e^{-\kappa(T_i-t)} \qquad \qquad \sigma_2 \text{ Short-term vol level} \\ \sigma_1 \text{ Long-term vol level} \\ \kappa \text{ decay factor} \end{cases}$
- •Forward volatility depends on time to expiration(T-t) rather than absolute time t
- •Exponentially decaying volatility function (for each underlying leg)
- •Can account for length of underlying swap delivery (Kiesel 2007, Benth 2008)

Forward Volatility Calibration

Given the parametric form for the instantaneous volatility we find for the model implied volatility:

$$\sigma_{F(0,T_{i})}^{imp} = \sqrt{\sigma_{1}^{2} + \frac{2\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}(1 - e^{\kappa T})}{-\kappa T} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}(1 - e^{2\kappa T})}{-2\kappa T}}$$

Robust estimation of the parameters of the local volatility function by least squares procedure, i.e. solve

$$\min_{\{\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\kappa\}} \sum_{mktquotes} \left[\sigma_{F(0,T_i)}^{imp} - \sigma_{F(0,T_i)}^{model} \right]^2$$

Correlation Input

•Difficult to imply from the market -> estimation from historical data (requires long time series)

•Estimate fuel-to-power, fuel-to- co_2 , co_2 -to-power and FX correlations for Cals, Qs, months, weeks

•The crucial quantity for valuing and hedging European options is the terminal correlation

$$\rho(t,T) = \rho_{\ln S_T, \ln G_T} = \frac{E_t [\ln S_T \ln G_T] - E_t [\ln S_T] E[\ln G_T]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}[\ln S_T]} \sqrt{\operatorname{var}[\ln G_T]}}$$



Model works very well...

•Low tracking error - almost perfect replication of a OP dark spread VPP





P. Schuetterle, Structured Trading, E.ON Energy Trading S.E. 22

...and meet's the trader's model desiderata:

- Link valuation to practical hedging strategy
- Fast calibration to market implied volatilities
- Fast premium and Greeks
- Ablility to correctly price vanilla products traded in the market
- Transparency of model allows intuitive interpretation of the deal value's sensitivity w.r.t. drivers/inputs (correlations, volatilities)
- Philosophy: As complex as necessary as simple as possible



Acknowledgements

I thank Nick Sillito, Micheal Ross, and Dr Rainer Döttling at E.ON for fruitful discussions.

Disclaimer

The information contained herein is for informational purposes only and may not be used, copied or distributed without the prior written consent of E.ON Energy Trading SE. It represents the current view of E.ON Energy Trading SE as of the date of this presentation. This presentation is not to be construed as an offer, or an amendment, novation or settlement of a contract, or as a waiver of any terms of a contract by E.ON Energy Trading SE. E.ON Energy Trading SE does not guarantee the accuracy of any of the information provided herein. This presentation and the matters discussed in it are provided only by way of general example and only for the purposes of explanation and general information. None of the matters covered in this presentation can be taken as advice on the merits of buying, selling, underwriting or exercising any rights in relation to any regulated investment or on the merits of employing any particular investment to mitigate or hedge any particular risk. Nor does this presentation constitute an offer to provide any financial services or deal in any securities.



Contact us

E-mail: <u>peter.schuetterle@eon.com</u> Structured Trading FPS, E.ON Energy Trading S.E.

Holzstrasse 6, 40221 Düsseldorf, Germany

T +49 (0)211- 73275 - 5703 F +49 (0)211- 73275 - 2320